

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 12/06/93 08/163,043 KALNITSKY SGS011 **EXAMINER** DANG, T D1M1/0801 LISA JORGENSON ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER LEGAL DEPT. SGS-THOMSON MICROELECTRONICS INC. 1310 ELECTRONICS DRIVE 1109 CARROLLTON, TX 75006 DATE MAILED: 08/01/94 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expira_ _ month(s), _ _ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4.
Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152. 3. SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. Claims 1-25 2. Claims 5. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on ____ Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are \square acceptable. \square not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _ has (have) been approved by the examiner. \square disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11.

The proposed drawing correction, filed-on _ _, has been approved. disapproved (see explanation). 12. \square Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has \square been received \square not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. ____ _____ : filed on 13. \Box Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 9-89)

Serial Number: 08/163,043 -2-

Art Unit: 1109

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 22-25, drawn to an integrated circuit structure, classified in Class 257, subclass 484.

Group II. Claims 1-21, drawn to a method of making an integrated circuit structure using etching and coating steps, classified in Class 437, subclass 228.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Groups I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed coul be made by other methods different from the claimed method. For example, selective coating and polishing could be employed instead of the etching steps as claimed.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for Group

Serial Number: 08/163,043

Art Unit: 1109

II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. During a telephone conversation with Ms. Lisa Jorgenson on 2/23/94 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 1-21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in responding to this Office action. Claims 22-25 are withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 8 and 15, the term "high points" lacks proper antecedent because it was never positively claimed that the

Serial Number: 08/163,043

Art Unit: 1109

partially fabricated integrated circuit structure has high points. It would have been possible that the partially fabricated integrated circuit structure has a planar top surface. Also in the above claims, the words "predetermined" and "desired" are indefinite and should be canceled.

- 7. Claims 1-21 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.
- 8. At the time when this application is in condition for allowance, claims 22-25, drawn to an invention non-elected with traverse, should be canceled.
- 9. The drawings are objected to because Figures 1A-1C are not designated by a legend such as "Prior Art". The legend is necessary in order to clarify what applicant's invention is.

 MPEP § 608.02(q). Correction is required.
- 10. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not suggest or teach to apply, in sequence, a SOG layer on a partially fabricated integrated circuit structure, cure it, vacuum deposit a dielectric layer (in particular, an oxide layer) on the cured SOG, then apply and cure another SOG layer over the vacuumed deposited dielectric, as claimed for applicants' method.

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Serial Number: 08/163,043

Art Unit: 1109

Morimoto, Wood et al, Batty, Malazgirt et al, Yen,
Matsumoto, Marks et al, Chen et al, Morozumi, Shin et al and
Ouellet are cited to show other methods of planarizing in the
fabrication of semiconductor devices.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Thi Dang whose telephone number is (703) 308-1973. The examiner can normally can be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 8:30-6:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Bruce Breneman, can be reached at (703) 308-3324.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3599 (or - 3600).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661 (or -0662).

T.D. July 27, 1994

> THI DANG PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100